

# **Exhibit C-1**

**Pls Email to Def**  
**dated Dec. 13, 2021**

## Leslie Faith Jones

---

**From:** Leslie Jones  
**Sent:** Monday, December 13, 2021 3:50 PM  
**To:** tgaylor@co.hinds.ms.us; Tony Gaylor  
**Cc:** Keisha Stokes-Hough; Greta Martin  
**Subject:** HYJC - Ongoing ETACD Violation  
**Attachments:** 20210816\_Document165\_OrderGrantingPlsMotionToClarifyETACD.pdf; 20211206\_MondayRoster.pdf; 20211213\_MondayRoster.pdf

Good Afternoon Mr. Gaylor,

Attached, please find two Rosters for the population at Henley Young Juvenile Justice Center which we received from the facility on December 6 and December 13.

Both Rosters identify [REDACTED] as having exceeded the current federal court order which precludes detention longer than 21 days. As per the final sentence in the attached August 16, 2021, Order signed by Judge Jordan: "And Defendant is in violation of the Extended Third Amended Consent Decree if and when it houses children under Youth Court jurisdiction beyond the 21-day limit set forth in paragraph 2.1."

We appreciate your prompt attention and reply to this ongoing violation of the Extended Third Amended Consent Decree in *J.H., et al. v. Hinds Co., et al.*, 3:11-cv-327-DPJ-FKB.

Regards,  
Leslie Faith Jones



**Leslie Faith Jones** *she/her/hers*  
Senior Staff Attorney | Criminal Justice Reform  
Southern Poverty Law Center  
C 601.317.7519  
[leslie.jones@splcenter.org](mailto:leslie.jones@splcenter.org) | [www.splcenter.org](http://www.splcenter.org)  
Admitted in Mississippi, Pennsylvania, New York

This e-mail and any attachments are confidential and may be protected by legal privilege. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of this e-mail or any attachment is prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify The Southern Poverty Law Center immediately by returning it to the sender and delete this copy from your system. Thank you for your cooperation.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI  
NORTHERN DIVISION

J.H. AND DISABILITY RIGHTS  
MISSISSIPPI

PLAINTIFFS

V.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:11-CV-327-DPJ-FKB

HINDS COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI

DEFENDANT

ORDER

This § 1983 case was filed in 2011 by a group of plaintiffs challenging the conditions of confinement in the Henley-Young Juvenile Justice Center. The parties ultimately settled their dispute, and they have been working together under a series of consent decrees since March 2012. Plaintiffs now seek clarification of certain provisions of the current order, the Extended Third Amended Consent Decree. Mot. [163]. Defendant Hinds County, Mississippi, likewise requests clarification. *See* Resp. [164] at 4.

Prior to September 2017, Henley-Young housed only youth under the jurisdiction of the Youth Court. But since September 2017, Henley-Young has also housed juveniles charged as adults (JCAs) in pre-trial detention. The parties agree that all juveniles housed at Henley-Young fall within the Extended Third Amended Consent Decree’s definition of “youth,” which “refers to individuals confined at Henley-Young.” Extended 3d Am. Consent Decree [161] at 2. Their disagreement concerns the application of paragraph 2.1 of the Extended Third Amended Consent Decree to JCAs. That paragraph provides in pertinent part:

[T]he parties agree that Provision 2.1 necessarily requires Henley-Young to discharge youth under youth court jurisdiction on or before his/her 21st day at Henley-Young. The parties agree that henceforth the 21 day time limit requiring discharge and refusal of admission of youth will not apply to juveniles charged as adults. . . . The parties further agree that Provision 2.1 necessarily requires Henley-Young to adhere to the monitor’s recommended 32-resident capacity limit on average daily population, and that Henley-Young cannot exceed an average

daily population of 32 residents, and that any admission that would require an [average daily population] in excess of 32 residents must be denied.

*Id.* ¶ 2.1.

Plaintiffs ask the Court to clarify “that (1) all youth confined at Henley-Young, including JCAs, are subject to and protected by this Consent Decree; (2) the 32-resident cap applies to JCAs; and (3) the County is in violation of this Consent Decree when it houses children under Youth Court jurisdiction beyond the 21-day limit.” Mot. [163] at 4.

Defendant does not dispute Plaintiffs’ construction as to its first and third requests. But as to the second, Defendant “respectfully requests that this Court clarify that the 32[-]resident limit at the Henley-Young facility does not apply to its JCA population.” Resp. [164] at 4.

Defendant explains:

The “32 person cap” under the consent decree in the instant case was appropriate for juveniles under the jurisdiction of the youth court for a myriad of reasons. However, it is obvious that this limit cannot reasonably apply to the JCA population as the [d]efendant has no ability to determine how many juveniles charged criminally as adults will be admitted into Henley-Young nor whether their cases will move expeditiously through the judicial system. With the recent rise in violent crime, the bottleneck of cases that exist within the Hinds County judicial system resulting partially because of the pandemic[,] and the high bonds administered by Hinds County judges, it is likely that the population of JCAs housed at Henley-Young will exceed 32 residents.

*Id.* at 3.

Defendant makes some legitimate points, but the parties crafted this document, and the Court must construe the words they chose. *See Frew v. Janek*, 780 F.3d 320, 327 (5th Cir. 2015) (“Consent decrees are construed according to ‘general principles of contract interpretation.’” (quoting *Dean v. City of Shreveport*, 438 F.3d 448, 460 (5th Cir. 2006))); *Bay Point High & Dry, Inc. v. Gore Brennan*, No. 1:06-CV-178-LG-JMR, 2007 WL 2908637, at \*2 (S.D. Miss. Oct. 3, 2007) (“[Mississippi] law requires this Court to accept the plain meaning of a contract as the intent of the parties where no ambiguity exists. In other words, legal purpose or intent should

first be sought in an objective reading of the words employed in the contract . . . .”) (quoting *A & F Props. Inc. v. Madison Cnty. Bd. of Supervisors*, 933 So. 2d 296, 301 (Miss. 2006))). And those words clearly place an overall cap on the average daily population of 32 residents, as opposed to a limit to the number of residents under Youth Court jurisdiction. *See* Extended 3d Am. Consent Decree [161] ¶ 2.1 (“The parties agree . . . that Henley-Young cannot exceed an average daily population of 32 residents . . . .”).

That said, Defendant is not without recourse. If the landscape regarding the volume of JCAs Henley-Young is required to accept has changed such that the 32-resident limit is no longer feasible or appropriate, Defendant may move to modify the Extended Third Amended Consent Decree. Plaintiffs would then have a full opportunity to respond, and the Court could hear from the Court-appointed monitor on any proposed changes.

For now, Plaintiffs’ Motion for Clarification [163] is granted. All youth confined at Henley-Young, including the JCAs, are subject to and protected by the Extended Third Amended Consent Decree. The overall 32-resident cap includes all youth confined at Henley-Young, including JCAs. And Defendant is in violation of the Extended Third Amended Consent Decree if and when it houses children under Youth Court jurisdiction beyond the 21-day limit set forth in paragraph 2.1.

**SO ORDERED AND ADJUDGED** this the 16th day of August, 2021.

s/ Daniel P. Jordan III  
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

## JCA (Juveniles Charged as Adults)

Date	DOB	Name/Size List	Locker #	Room #	Booking Date	Booking Time	Offense(s)	Bond Amount	Cas Mgr/Trip	A&D Trip	Indicted Y/N	Indictment Date	Indictment #	Attorney/Judge	Arresting Agency	# Days
		(01)			09/11/19	0414						01/17/20				817
		(02)			09/15/20	0336						2020				447
		(03)			03/19/21	2154										262
		(04)			03/23/21	2200										258
		(05)			03/27/21	2225										254
		(06)			04/07/21	0243										243
		(07)			05/16/21	0136										204
		(08)			05/16/21	1932										204
		(09)			06/08/21	1809										181
		(10)			07/07/21	2311										152
		(11)			09/03/21	2200										94
		(12)			09/16/21	1240										81
		(13)			10/20/21	0540										47
		(14)			10/20/21	0400										47
		(15)			10/21/21	0400										46
		(16)			11/03/21	0321										33
		(17)			11/03/21	0400										33
		(18)			11/07/21	2100										29
		(19)			12/06/21											
		(20)			12/06/21											
		(21)			12/06/21											
		(22)			12/06/21											
		(23)			12/06/21											
		(24)			12/06/21											
		(01)			08/26/21	0540										102
		(02)			11/01/21	2135										35
TOTAL																



Date	DOB	12/06/21	Locket#	Room #	Booking Date	Detained Time	Charge(s)	Case Mgr	Counselor	Detention Hearing	Returned from	Returned Date	Release Time	To:	# Days
		01)			11/23/21	1823				11/29/21					13
		02)			12/02/21	1825				12/06/21					4
		03)			12/03/21	1538				12/06/21					3
		04)			12/04/21	0315				12/06/21					2
		05)			12/05/21	1345									1
		06)			12/06/21										0
		07)			12/06/21										0
		08)			12/06/21										0
		09)			12/06/21										0
		10)			12/06/21										0
		11)			12/06/21										0
		12)			12/06/21										0
		13)			12/06/21										0
		14)			12/06/21										0
		15)			12/06/21										0
		16)			12/06/21										0
		17)			12/06/21										0
		18)			12/06/21										0
		19)			12/06/21										0
		20)			12/06/21										0
		21)			12/06/21										0
		22)			12/06/21										0
		23)			12/06/21										0
		24)			12/06/21										0
		01)				1503				11/15/21					25
		02)				0955				12/01/21					5
		03)				1337				12/06/21		12/02/21			16
		04)				1525				12/06/21					4
		05)				1145									1
		06)			12/06/21										0
		TOTAL	10				IN HOUSE TOTAL	30							0
			05					23							
			05					7							



## JCA (Juveniles Charged as Adults)

Date	DOB	Name/Size List	Locker #	Room #	Booking Date	Booking Time	Offense(s)	Bond Amount	Case Mgr./Thrup	A&D Thrup	Indicted Y/N	Indictment Date	Indictment #	Attorney/Judge	Arresting Agency	# Days
		01)			09/11/19	0414						01/17/20				824
		02)			09/15/20	0336						2020				454
		03)			03/19/21	2154										269
		04)			03/23/21	2200										265
		05)			03/27/21	2225										261
		06)			04/07/21	0243										250
		07)			05/16/21	0136										211
		08)			05/16/21	1932										211
		09)			07/07/21	2311										159
		10)			09/03/21	2200										159
		11)			09/16/21	1240										101
		12)			10/20/21	0540										88
		13)			10/20/21	0400										54
		14)			10/21/21	0400										54
		15)			11/03/21	0445										53
		16)			11/04/21	1650										40
		17)			11/07/21	2100										39
		18)			12/09/21	1505										36
		19)			12/12/21	2100										1
		20)			12/13/21											
		21)			12/13/21											
		22)			12/13/21											
		23)			12/13/21											
		24)			12/13/21											

Date	DOB	12/13/21	Locket#	Room #	Booking Date	Detained Time	Charge(s)	Case Mgr	Counselor	Detention Hearing	Returned from	Returned Date	Release Date	Release Time	To:	# Days
		01)			12/02/21	1825				12/06/21						11
		02)			12/04/21	0315				12/06/21						9
		03)			12/06/21	1503				12/08/21						7
		04)			12/09/21	1804				12/13/21						4
		05)			12/09/21	1800				12/13/21						4
		06)			12/13/21											0
		07)			12/13/21											0
		08)			12/13/21											0
		09)			12/13/21											0
		10)			12/13/21											0
		11)			12/13/21											0
		12)			12/13/21											0
		13)			12/13/21											0
		14)			12/13/21											0
		15)			12/13/21											0
		16)			12/13/21											0
		17)			12/13/21											0
		18)			12/13/21											0
		19)			12/13/21											0
		20)			12/13/21											0
		21)			12/13/21											0
		22)			12/13/21											0
		23)			12/13/21											0
		24)			12/13/21											0
		01)			11/11/21	1503				11/15/21						32
		02)			12/01/21	0955				12/01/21						12
		03)			12/10/21	09/15/04				12/13/21						3
		04)			12/13/21											0
		05)			12/13/21											0
		06)			12/13/21											0
		TOTAL	08				IN HOUSE TOTAL	28								
			05					24								
			03					4								